

Comparison of Pruning Regimes for Nine-year-old Eucalyptus nitens

<sup>1</sup>8 Alder Close, Colden Common, Winchester SO21 1XB, England. <sup>2</sup> Scion Research, Rotorua, NZ <sup>3</sup>Scion Research, Christchurch, NZ <sup>4</sup>7 Mt Thomas Road RD1, Rangiora, Canterbury, NZ <sup>5</sup> Scion Research, Rotorua, NZ <sup>6</sup> Avenal, Millers Flat, RD2, Otago, NZ

Email: lan.nicholas@scionresearch.com

Errol Hay<sup>1</sup>, Mark Kimberley<sup>2</sup>, Dave Henley<sup>3</sup>, Dudley Franklin<sup>4</sup>, Ian Nicholas<sup>5</sup> and Eion Garden<sup>6</sup>



## *Objectives: - to study the effect of:*

• pruning intensity on tree growth and stem diameter over stubs (DOS). • initial stocking on crop tree quality.

## Trial Location: In 1992, a Eucalyptus nitens pruning trial was established on farmland at Millers Flat (480 m asl, lat. 46°S) in Central Otago, New Zealand.

## **Pruning treatments**

- Conservative first prune (at age 4) with 9 cm gauge, thereafter using a 10 cm gauge. (Annual and Biennial)
- Radical first prune (at age 3) with 6 cm gauge, thereafter using a 7 cm gauge. (Annual and Biennial)



## Thinning treatments

- by ages 5 to 7 years











• Conservative pruning resulted in no measurable loss in growth

- Radical pruning significantly reduced growth
- Growth rate appeared to recover within a year of pruning
- Overall, the radical annual treatment lost one year of growth compared with the conservative biennial treatment
- DOS was significantly reduced by radical annual pruning (11.6 cm) but did not differ among the other pruning treatments (mean 15.0 cm)



- No difference in tree size between 300/100 and 600/100 thinning treatments
- Competition in unthinned plots reduced DBH growth after age 6 years
- Height growth was increased in unthinned plots
- Unthinned plots had 6 cm less DBH but 2.5 m greater height than thinned plots at age 9 years